

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
1.	Page – 27 Clause – 40 A.2 (C) Eligibility Criteria c) The tenderer should have an average annual turnover of atleast Rs 10 Crore from consultancy assignments during the last 3 financial years	Request to consider Rs 25 Crore instead of Rs 10 Crore during last 3 financial years	No change in RFP
2.	Page – 16 Clause - 2.6.8 (vii) At least one key expert must be proposed by each member forming the part of JV	Requesting to remove this clause	No change in RFP
3.	The key personnel proposed must be permanent full-time employees of the firm	Requesting to revise this clause as either permanent employee of the firm or a long-time associate	No change in RFP
4.	Page – 17 Clause - 2.7.3 (ix)  Client certificates for the projects listed under the experience section. The certifications must confirm the project attributes (project cost, size, fee, duration etc) and the scope of work taken by the consultant	Request you to consider CA certified certificate in case if we are unable to obtain completion certificate from the client or our completion certificates are in any other foreign language.	No change in RFP
5.	Page – 17 Clause - 2.7.3 (xiii)  No key personnel involved should have attained the age of 65 years	Request you to not to keep any age constraints for the key personnel	No change in RFP
6.	Page – 21 Clause - 2.9.4 Key personnel staff Sector expert: Minimum years of professional experience – 10 years	Request you to consider 8 years instead of 10 years	No change in RFP

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
7.	Page – 22 Clause - 2.9.5 Proposed methodology and work plan – 5 marks	Please consider 15 marks for A&M wherein you may reduce the marks weightage given to traffic expert (10 marks) and financial expert (5 marks) since this project is more technical in nature	No change in RFP
8.	Page – 24 Clause - 2.9.5 Evaluation 70:30	Request you to consider 80:20 (Technical:Financial)	No change in RFP
9.	Page – 29 Clause - 2.16.6 Proposal Due date – September 4, 2018	Request you to consider – September 11, 2018	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
10.	Page – 66 Clause - 5.1 point no 10 Preparation of detail drawings	We believe detail drawings are tender drawings. Please confirm	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
11.	Page – 67 Clause - 5.1 point no 13 Any additional work in connection with the scope of work which the AP Port Department feels essential shall be carried out by the consultant firm during the period of contract	Request you to either specify clear incremental scope or remove this clause	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
12.	Page – 68 Clause - 5.2 Deliverable and payment schedule	Request you to include another deliverable of submission of survey report and provide a fee of 20%. This 20% can be reduced from Approval of final DPR.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
13.	Page – 68 Clause - 5.2 Total time required for the project – 18 weeks	Request you to provide 24 weeks instead of 18 weeks. 1. Inception report – T+2 – 10% 2. Submission of survey reports – T+8 – 20% 3. Submission of draft DPR – T+18 – 30% 4. Approval of final DPR – T+20 – 20% 5. Approval of bid documents -T+24 -20%	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b>Reference in Tender Document</b>	<b>Query</b>	<b>Responses</b>
14.	<p>Page 20 of RFP Clause 2.9.4 – Minimum Qualification Criteria</p> <p><b>Technical Capacity:</b>  <i>The Applicant (Sole Bidder or Lead member in case of the consortium) shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 5 (five) advisory/consultancy assignments (“Eligible Assignment”)</i></p> <p><b>Financial Capacity:</b>  <i>The Applicant (Sole Bidder or Lead member in case of the consortium) shall have received a minimum income of INR 10 crore or USD 5 (five) million per annum in India from Consultancy fees during each of the 3 (three) financial years preceding the Proposal Due Date.</i></p>	<p>We request you to please note that –  Our partners from Europe has requisite experience globally on such assignments however can’t qualify the given Criterion due to</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Very high Value of financial capacity of 5M USD, with consultancy fees earnings of USD 1.1M, 1.5M, 2.0M in last 3 years, Avg turnover is 1.5M USD per year (Which is equal to INR 10 Cr mentioned in INR) per year.</li> <li>2. Experience asked is in INDIA only, please consider on worldwide basis</li> <li>3. Eligibility criterion shall be acceptable from consortium members in bid for technical as well as Financial rather than lead bidder – a local firm, Deposit and PBG to be submitted by Lead bidder is fine.</li> <li>4. Being MSME, registered with NSIC, would we get exemption from EMD and tender fees for bidding ?</li> </ol> <p>Request you to please review above points and advice us some relaxation in criterion to give us a chance to make a competitive bid for project, one assignment in India will make us eligible for multiple projects in future.</p>	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
15.	<p><b>Instruction to Applicants:</b>  Clause no. 2.9.4 Minimum Qualification Criteria-Eligible Assignments:  Sub-clause (b).  On pg. no. 21.</p>	<p>As per the 2.9.4. Minimum Qualification Criteria, the <b>Eligible Assignment</b> considered as “<i>b. Development of Marine structures, On-shore and Off-shore Terminals, Berths, Quays, Cargo Handling System, Bulk/Liquid Material Handling System, Port based Terminal Facilities, Container Freight Station /Inland Container Depots. Storage Tanks/ Tank Farms, Conveyors, Pipelines and Warehousing with estimated capital cost (excluding land) of INR 45 (Forty Five) crore in case of a project in India, and USD23 (Twenty Three) million for projects elsewhere</i>”.</p> <p>We kindly request the client <b>ALSO</b> to consider the projects related to <b>Port based Industrial parks, Logistics parks, Multimodal Logistics Park, SEZs and other facilities such as Shipyard</b> as the “Eligible Assignment”.</p>	No change in RFP

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
16.	<p><b>Instruction to Applicants:</b>            Clause no. <b>2.9.4 Minimum Qualification Criteria;</b>            Sub-clause (b).            On pg. no. 21.</p>	<p>It is mentioned under sub-clause <b>(b)</b>.<i>The Applicant (Sole Bidder or Lead member in case of the consortium) shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 5 (five) advisory/consultancy assignments (“Eligible Assignment”).</i></p> <p>As per above referred clause, it is understood that, the “<b>Eligible Assignment</b>” would be considered <b>ONLY</b> of <b>Sole Bidder</b> or <b>Lead Member</b> in case of consortium.</p> <p><b>We kindly request the Client ALSO to consider <u>COMBINED</u> experience of Lead member and Consortium member of the consortium.</b></p>	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
17.	<p><b>2.16. Data Sheet:</b>            Clause no. <b>2.16.5.</b>            On pg. no. 29.</p>	<p>Considering the nature &amp; sensitivity of the work and to <b>attract the Technically Competent Consultant</b> to participate, We kindly request the client to revise the Evaluation Criteria from <b>70:30 TO</b> with ratio of <b>80:20</b>.</p>	No change in RFP
18.	<p><b>5. Terms of Reference:</b>  <b>5.1. Detailed Scope of Work:</b>            Clause no. (iv);            Sub-clause no. 13.            On pg. no. 67.</p>	<p>It is mentioned under <b>sub-clause no. 13</b>. Any additional work in connection with the scope of work which the A.P. Port Department feels essential shall be carried out by the Consultant firm during the period of contract.</p> <p>We request the Client kindly provide clarifications regarding which type of Work might be included under “<b>ADDITIONAL WORK</b>” specified in above referred clause.</p>	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
19.	<p><b>2. Instruction to Applicants:</b>  <b>2.5. Bid Security;</b>            Clause no. 2.5.1.            On pg. no. 12.</p>	<p>We kindly request the Authority to consider “<b>EMD</b>” in the form of <b>Bank Guarantee</b> also.</p>	No change in RFP
20.	<p><b>General</b></p>	<p>Considering the nature of work &amp; in order to submit competent BID we kindly request the authority to provide at least <b>2-3 WEEKS</b> time for submission of <b>BID</b> after the receipt of <b>REPLY/CLARIFICATION</b> to Pre-bid queries.</p>	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
21.	<p>Clause 2.9.4 (a) at Page 21</p> <p>Clause 2.1.2 at Page-8 The Applicant (Sole Bidder or Lead member in case of the consortium) shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 5 (five) advisory/consultancy assignments (“Eligible Assignment”)</p> <p>The term “Applicant” refers to a single entity or a group of entities coming together to execute the assignment. The Proposal will form the basis for contract signing with the selected Applicant (Consultant).</p>	<p>It is against the spirit of JV/Consortium and also contradictory to the clause 2.1.2. Therefore we request you to modify the term applicant as “<i>single entity or a group of entities</i>” as per clause 2.1.2 instead of Lead member of the consortium only.</p>	<p>Modified – provided in the Corrigendum</p>

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
22.	<p>Clause 2.6.1 at Page-13</p> <p>Clause 2.9.4 (a) at Page 21 The Applicant for participation in the Selection Process, may be a single entity or a group of entities (as a “Consortium”), coming together to execute the Assignment. However, no applicant applying individually or as a member of a Consortium, as the case may be, can be member of another consortia bidding for the Assignment.</p> <p><b>(a) Technical Capacity:</b> The Applicant (Sole Bidder or Lead member in case of the consortium) shall have, over the past 10 (ten) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 5 (five) advisory/consultancy assignments (“Eligible Assignment”)</p>	<p>We understand that like major Indian port trusts tendering practices, the Indian subsidiary of an International company can submit the bid by using the experience / references of their parent company to fulfil the Minimum Eligibility Criteria, provided the bidder gives authorization from parent company. The party must submit, with its Technical Proposal, the documentary evidence regarding fulfilment of all the required criteria. Please confirm.</p>	<p>No change in RFP</p>
23.	<p>Clause 2.7.3 (xi) at Page-17 If the Applicant being a Consortium is qualified on the strength of the experience of a member which is a foreign company/ entity, requisite key personnel from that foreign company/ entity shall be fielded</p>	<p>We understand this clause is applicable only on those foreign companies of the consortium having no ownership or stakes in the Indian company (also a subsidiary of foreign company) of the consortium. Please confirm</p>	<p>Confirmed</p>
24.	<p>Clause 2.7.3 (i) at Page-16 The key personnel proposed must be permanent full time employees of the firm. Sub-consultants who are not employed by the firm will not be acceptable as key staff.</p>	<p>We understand from the ToR that this assignment is basically a technical study with tender level design and engineering. Hence it is requested that only a Team Leader and Sector Expert must be a permanent full time employee of the firm and allow sub-consultants for Traffic-cum-safety Expert &amp; Financial Analyst position. Please confirm.</p>	<p>No change in RFP</p>

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
25.	<p>Clause 2.9.4 at Page-21 For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, advisory / consultancy assignments in respect of preparing Detailed Project Report or Feasibility Report for the following projects shall be deemed as Eligible Assignments (the “Eligible Assignments”): Detailed Project Report for Greenfield /upgradation of projects related to Port Infrastructure to include construction of the following: a. Development of Wharf / Jetty / Loading Platform for Mechanical Handling / Development of Cement Concrete Roads / Development of Groynes / Capital Dredging Works. b. Development of Marine structures, On-shore and Off-shore Terminals, Berths, Quays, Cargo Handling System, Bulk/Liquid Material Handling System, Port based Terminal Facilities, Container Freight Station / Inland Container Depots. Storage Tanks/ Tank Farms, Conveyors, Pipelines and Warehousing with estimated capital cost (excluding land) of INR 45 (Forty Five) crore in case of a project in India, and USD 23 (Twenty Three) million for projects elsewhere.</p>	<p>In addition to detail project report or feasibility report, we request to also consider Masterplan and Comprehensive PMC assignments in Ports &amp; Marine Sector over the past 10 years preceding the PDD.</p>	<p>No change in RFP</p>

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
26.	Clause 2.9.5 at Page-22 Technical evaluation criteria	Since it is technical study, It is suggested to allocate more marks for “Proposed Methodology and Work Plan”.  1) Relevant Experience of the applicant: <b>25 marks</b> 2) Proposed methodology and work plan : <b>10 marks</b> 3) Relevant Experience of the Team: <b>65 marks</b> <i>3(a) Port Sector Expert-cum-Team Leader – 25 marks</i> <i>3(b) Sector Expert – 20 marks</i> <i>3(c) Traffic Expert – 10 marks</i> <i>3(d) Financial Analyst – 10 marks</i>  <i>Please confirm.</i>	No change in RFP
27.	Clause 2.9.4 at Page-21  List of minimum key personnel/staff  Minimum Time required at Site	It is understood that key personnel has to do the maximum work under this assignment from his home office in India with the support of inter disciplinary engineering personnel available there. Also Key personnel will visit Kakinada Port for site inspection/surveys /meetings/ discussions, presentations as and when required during the study. Please confirm.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
28.	<p>Clause 2.10.3 at Page-25</p> <p>The Client will not consider substitutions during contract implementation except under exceptional circumstances up to a maximum of 1 (one) key personnel (considering equal weighting for each key personnel) and that too by only equally or better qualified and experienced personnel. During the course of providing services, substitution of key personnel would call for reduction of remuneration. <i>The remuneration of the key personnel replaced will not exceed 80 (eighty) percent of the remuneration agreed for the Original key personnel.</i></p>	<p>Regarding substitution of Key Personnel during the contract implementation period, please confirm that:</p> <p><b>a)</b> There will be no reduction of remuneration if the substitution is due to reasons outside the reasonable control of the Consultant.</p> <p><b>b)</b> Reasons out of reasonable control of the Consultant also include resignation of the Key Personnel and/or if the Key Personnel just does not make themselves available for unknown reasons. Consultant of course remains responsible to provide a suitable substitution as per the contract.</p> <p><b>c)</b> We understand that reduction of the remuneration as mentioned in the clause, is applicable only on 2<sup>nd</sup> substitution or beyond.</p> <p><b>d)</b> <i>As per the standard industry practice, reduction should be not more than 10% of remuneration agreed for the Original key personnel.</i></p> <p>Please confirm.</p>	No change in RFP
29.	<p>Clause 2.5.1 at Page-12</p> <p>A bid security of INR 200,000 (Two lakh) in the form of a Demand Draft, from a scheduled Indian Bank in favor of ‘Sagarmala Development Company Limited’, valid for 90(ninety) days from the PDD, payable at New Delhi, for the sum as specified in the Data Sheet shall be required to be submitted by each Applicant (“Bid Security”).</p>	<p>As per the standard practice, we request you kindly accept the Bid Security in the form of Bank Guarantee. Please confirm.</p> <p>Also it is requested to provide the BG format for the same.</p>	No change in RFP
30.	<p>Clause 2.6.8 (iii) at Page-15</p> <p>It is expected that the Lead Firm would be authorized to incur liabilities and to receive instructions and payments for and on behalf of the Consortium. The Client expects that Lead Firm should have maximum responsibility pertaining to execution of Assignment</p>	<p>If the bidder consortium comprise of International parent company and their Indian subsidiary then we request you to consider Indian Subsidiary as a Member-in-Charge of the consortium to raise invoices to the client and receive payment for and on behalf of consortium for ease of operation and reduce taxation complexities.</p> <p>Please clarify.</p>	Indian subsidiary to be mentioned as the Lead Member

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
31.	<p>Clause 5.1 (13) of TOR at Page-67</p> <p>Any additional work in connection with the scope of work which the A.P. Port Department feels essential shall be carried out by the Consultant firm during the period of contract.</p>	<p>Such open ended item has a financial implications to the bidder, hence request SDCL to please clarify the type of additional work required to be carried out and elaborate scope for the same.</p> <p>Also if the additional work concerned with field work (e.g. survey, investigations, extra outstation travel other than Kakinada etc.) then SDCL will pay extra for that work.</p> <p>Please confirm.</p>	<p>Modified – provided in the Corrigendum</p>

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

32.	Clause 5.2 of ToR at Page-68 Deliverables and Payment Schedule	Project start date should be counted from the date of signing of agreement instead of date of LoA. It is a standard practice in consultancy and also as per SDCL GCC clause 6.3.1 at page-76.  Since the surveys and geotechnical investigation, major cost incurring and time consuming work, will be in the start of contract, we suggest following deliverables schedule with payment terms as reasonable for consultant to have balanced cash flow.  *all	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum.																																																		
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr No.</th> <th>Activity/Deliverables</th> <th>Activity duration in weeks</th> <th>Payment (% of total fee quoted)*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Submission of Inception Report</td> <td>T+2</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Submission of Draft DPR including Financial Feasibility and Economic Analysis</td> <td>T+14</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Approval of Final DPR</td> <td>T+16</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Approval of Bid Documents</td> <td>T+18</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>18</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*all payments subject to approval of respective activity/deliverable. T – Project Start Date–It would be counted from the date of Letter of Award</p>	Sr No.	Activity/Deliverables	Activity duration in weeks	Payment (% of total fee quoted)*	1	Submission of Inception Report	T+2	10%	2	Submission of Draft DPR including Financial Feasibility and Economic Analysis	T+14	30%	3	Approval of Final DPR	T+16	40%	4	Approval of Bid Documents	T+18	20%		Total	18	100%	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr No.</th> <th>Activity/Deliverables</th> <th>Activity duration in weeks</th> <th>Payment (% of total fee quoted)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Submission of Inception Report</td> <td>T+2</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Submission of Survey and soil investigation report</td> <td>T+12</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Submission of Draft DPR including Financial Feasibility and Economic Analysis</td> <td>T+18</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Approval of Final DPR</td> <td>T+20</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Approval of Bid Documents</td> <td>T+ 24</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>24</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>payments except for Sr. no. 1, 2 &amp; 3 subject to approval of respective activity/deliverable.  T – Project Start Date–It would be counted from the date of signing of contract agreement OR 15 days from the date of letter of Award whichever will be the earlier.</p>	Sr No.	Activity/Deliverables	Activity duration in weeks	Payment (% of total fee quoted)	1	Submission of Inception Report	T+2	10%	2.	Submission of Survey and soil investigation report	T+12	30%	3	Submission of Draft DPR including Financial Feasibility and Economic Analysis	T+18	30%	4	Approval of Final DPR	T+20	20%	5	Approval of Bid Documents	T+ 24	10%		Total	24
Sr No.	Activity/Deliverables	Activity duration in weeks	Payment (% of total fee quoted)*																																																		
1	Submission of Inception Report	T+2	10%																																																		
2	Submission of Draft DPR including Financial Feasibility and Economic Analysis	T+14	30%																																																		
3	Approval of Final DPR	T+16	40%																																																		
4	Approval of Bid Documents	T+18	20%																																																		
	Total	18	100%																																																		
Sr No.	Activity/Deliverables	Activity duration in weeks	Payment (% of total fee quoted)																																																		
1	Submission of Inception Report	T+2	10%																																																		
2.	Submission of Survey and soil investigation report	T+12	30%																																																		
3	Submission of Draft DPR including Financial Feasibility and Economic Analysis	T+18	30%																																																		
4	Approval of Final DPR	T+20	20%																																																		
5	Approval of Bid Documents	T+ 24	10%																																																		
	Total	24	100%																																																		

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
	After completion of each Phase, the Consultant shall await issuance of ‘Notice to Proceed’ from Client for proceeding work on next Phase	After completion of each Phase, the Consultant shall await issuance of ‘Notice to Proceed’ from Client for proceeding work on next Phase  Please confirm	
33.	Clause 5.2 (Footnote) : Payment Schedule at Page 68  ** all payments subject to approval of respective activity/ deliverable. .	Since this assignments involves multidisciplinary of works, retaining of stage payments till the acceptance of report may imbalance the cash-flow of the consultant. Hence It is requested to split milestone payments as 80% on submission of report to the SDCL and balance 20% of respective milestone on acceptance.  Please confirm.	No change in RFP
34.	Clause 52.1 (i) at page-64  (4) Any other study as may be necessary has to be carried out for successful completion of the DPR preparation.	Since this item has a financial implications to the bidder, request KoPT to Please clarify the other study required to be carried out and elaborate scope for the same.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
35.	Clause 5.1 at page-64  The Services of Reputed consultants are needed for preparation of Detailed Project Report including Detailed Soil Investigation, Topographic Survey, Bathymetry Survey, Designs of the Structures, Detailed Drawings and Detailed Estimates for the works as specified below as per the standard norms and specifications.	Since it is a DPR study and the construction work shall be executed through EPC mode, we understand that the preparation of design and engineering drawings scope is only upto the extent of EPC tender design required at DPR level. Please confirm.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum.

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
36.	<p>Clause 5.1 (4) at page-64</p> <p>Carryout complete topographic survey and hydrographic survey of the entire commercial Canal and approach channel to the sea. Topography survey area shall cover from Kumbhabhishekam temple to South groyne of the commercial canal and from Jagannaiakpur Bridge to Hope Island covering entire Anchorage Port area.</p>	<p>How much area to be assumed for topographical survey? What is the grid interval to be required for survey?</p> <p>Please clarify.</p>	<p>Area to be calculated based on the boundaries mentioned.</p>
37.	<p>Clause 5.1 (5) at page-64</p> <p>Conduct detailed soil investigation at the location of the wharf for Mechanical loading and loading points with required boreholes including all necessary field tests, laboratory tests duly arriving at the S.B.C. of the area for design purpose of the structures. The soil investigation shall cover six land bores at the locations as specified and decided by the Department</p>	<p>We understand that bidder has to consider only 6 land boreholes for financial proposal. It is requested to provide detailed technical specifications (e.g. drilling depth) for geotechnical investigation work to place all the bidders on same platform for financial proposal.</p>	<p>The depth can't be specified. It depends on the site conditions.</p> <p>The soil investigation shall be carried out to a depth till the Point of Refusal</p>
38.	<p>Clause 2.16.6 of data sheet at page-29</p> <p>Proposal Due Date (PDD) : 04th September 2018 On or before 1600 hours IST</p>	<p>We request you to kindly provide minimum 3 weeks' time for bid submission after uploading the pre-bid response / replies.</p>	<p>Modified – provided in the Corrigendum.</p>
39.	<p>Page – 64</p> <p>Section 5.1 Point 1</p>	<p>Request you to kindly provide the list of data available with the authorities</p>	<p>Data available with the Dept. of Ports will be provided to the successful bidder on Award of Contract</p>

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
40.	Page – 64 Section 5.1 Point 3	Request you to please define the nature of traffic projections. Will it be desktop based or field survey based?	Desktop based Traffic projection will be sufficient the purpose
41.	Page – 64 Section 5.1 Point 4	To understand the quantum of surveys to be conducted, we request you to kindly provide the specification of each survey type.	Successful bidder shall carry out the surveys as per latest Industry practice.
42.	Page – 64 Section 5.1 Point 6	As conducting survey in the presence of Port Dept Representative shall require prior approval from the port authorities. We assume that the time taken for approval shall not be accounted in the total duration of the project , please confirm	Departmental personnel will be available during survey time on due intimation. No such prior approval is necessary
43.	Page – 64 Section 5.1 Point 7	The point mentions detailed design. Kindly conform that will it include GFC drawings?	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum.

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
44.	Page – 66 Section 5.1 Point 8	The extent of detailed design is not defined and thus it seems the level of detailing cannot ensure structural stability of the design. We request you to kindly remove this clause.	Dept. of Ports will engage in Construction contracts based on the designs provided by the consultant. Hence, the designs shall conform to Good For Construction
45.	Page – 68 Section 5.2 Deliverable & payment schedule	Total duration of 18 weeks for the given scope of work not sufficient. Kindly increase to 30 weeks if detailed design is not part of the scope, otherwise 45 weeks.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum
46.	Page – 68 Section 5.2 Deliverable & payment schedule	Kindly let us know that the "Notice to Proceed" from client will not be part of total project duration.	No change in RFP
47.	Page – 68 Section 5.2 Deliverable & payment schedule	We request to add a payment milestone for surveys and investigations as it carries a significant amount fee.	No change in RFP
48.	Page – 87 Section 6.5.7 Limitation of liability	We request you kindly limit the overall liability on the assignment to the consultant to a maximum of 100% of the fee payable under the contract	Clause 6.5.7 (ii) addresses this
49.	Page – 83 Section 6.11 Liquidated damages	Since the scope of work involves surveys and investigation. Such work are subject to the quantum and specifications of surveys required. Since such details are missing, we request you to kindly remove the clause.	No change in RFP
50.	General Query	Considering that various holidays falling in the month of August, we request you to kindly extend the bid submission due date at least by 1 week.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum.

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
51.	RFP, Page 16, Clause 2.7.3 The key personnel proposed must be permanent fulltime employees of the firm. Sub-consultants who are not employed by the firm will not be acceptable as key staff.	As some of the firms may not have similar permanent personnel's, we request you to kindly allow personnel's who are not permanent employees. A consent of personnel would be submitted along with proposal and further, we can assure the availability of personnel's after award of work.	No change in RFP
52.	RFP, Page 42 & 45, Form 3E & 3 F respectively For a Power of Attorney executed and issued overseas, the document will also have to be legalized by the Indian Embassy and notarized in the jurisdiction where the Power of Attorney is being issued. However, the Power of Attorney provided by Applicants from countries that have signed The Hague Legislation Convention, 1961 are not required to be legalized by the Indian Embassy if it carries a conforming Appostille certificate.	For Overseas firm in Singapore, As the Legalisation by the Indian Embassy and notarize takes 3-4 weeks, hence we request you to kindly allow us to submit legalised & Notarized document once the project is awarded us.	Can be considered

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
53.	<p>RFP, Page No.21, Eligible Assignments.</p> <p>Detailed Project Report for Greenfield /upgradation of projects related to Port Infrastructure to include construction of the following:</p> <p>a. Development of Wharf / Jetty / Loading Platform for Mechanical Handling / Development of Cement Concrete Roads / Development of Groynes / Capital Dredging Works.</p> <p>b. Development of Marine structures, On-shore and Off-shore Terminals, Berths, Quays, Cargo Handling System, Bulk/Liquid Material Handling System, Port based Terminal Facilities, Container Freight Station / Inland Container Depots. Storage Tanks/ Tank Farms, Conveyors, Pipelines and Warehousing with estimated capital cost (excluding land) of INR 45 (Forty Five) crore in case of a project in India, and USD 23 (Twenty Three) million for projects elsewhere</p>	<p>Request you to consider Master Plan projects too, apart from DPR, as Master Plan contains all major facilities during planning, also, request you top kindly consider projects related to SEZ, Industrial parks , as the facilities provided here are more.</p>	<p>No change in RFP</p>

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
54.	RFP, Page 22, Clause 2.9.5, Technical Evaluation Criteria, point 1  Relevant Experience of the Applicant.  No. of Eligible Assignments undertaken by the applicant - Max 25 Marks  No. of Eligible Marks Assignments 5                      10 6 to 8                15 9 to 12               20 More than 12      25	We request you to kindly consider the following for the Marking: No. of Eligible Marks Assignments 5                      15 6 to 10              20 11 and above      25	No change in RFP
55.	RFP, Page 30, Clause 2.16.9  Duration of assignment shall be for a period of 18 weeks.	We request you to kindly review the duration of the assignment as the survey works such as Bathymetric / Land & Marine SI which itself will take at least 10-12 weeks to complete.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum.
56.	General	Can a subsidiary firm of Foreign Company registered in India which has international projects and experience can showcase/use the parent company credentials for this assignment? Kindly Confirm.	Confirmed
57.	RFP, Page 29, Clause 2.16.6  Proposal Due Date (PDD) 04th September 2018  On or before 1600 hours IST	We request you to kindly Extended Submission Date atleast 18-20 days from the date of response to our queries.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum.
58.	<b>Clause 2.9.4 Minimum Qualification Criteria- In page 21, Point (b) in Eligible Assignments:</b>	Please clarify whether INR 45.00 crores is for each project or 5 projects put together.	Aggregate of capital cost of 5 projects should be Rs. 45 crore

**Pre-Bid Clarifications**

**Request for Proposal (RFP) for “Appointment of consultants for preparation of Detailed Project Report for improvement of Kakinada Anchorage Port Facilities under the Sagarmala Programme”**

	<b><u>Reference in Tender Document</u></b>	<b><u>Query</u></b>	<b><u>Responses</u></b>
59.	Clause 2.16.7  The Processing Fees for this RFP is INR 10,000 (INR Ten Thousand) <b>plus GST</b> and the Bid Security for this RFP is INR 200,000 (INR Two Lakh)	Please clarify the GST percentage to be taken while making DD of tender fee  Also send your GST number for mentioning the same	GST would be 18%
60.	General Query	Kindly extend the last date of submission by 10 days.	Modified – provided in the Corrigendum.